

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ANTHONY S. COSTA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SANDOVAL, et al.,

15 Defendants.

1:21-cv-01308-GSA-PC

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL  
(ECF No. 8.)

17 On September 17, 2021, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.  
18 Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland,  
19 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent  
20 Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the  
21 Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional  
22 circumstances the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section  
23 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.

24 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek  
25 volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether  
26 “exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success  
27 of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the  
28 complexity of the legal issues involved.” Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Plaintiff filed the Complaint on August 30, 2021, less than a month ago, and the Complaint awaits the Court's screening required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Thus, to date the Court has not found any cognizable claims in Plaintiff's Complaint for which to initiate service of process, and no other parties have yet appeared. Plaintiff's medical claim is not complex. Moreover, based on a review of the record in this case, the Court finds that Plaintiff can adequately articulate his claims. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2021

**/s/ Gary S. Austin**

---

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---